

Compositional Memory Management in the λ -calculus

Or: A Compositional Semantics for Explicit Naming

Sky Wilshaw, Graham Hutton

School of Computer Science, University of Nottingham

12th June 2025

Explicit naming

let $x = 1 + 2$ *in* $\underbrace{\text{print}(x + x)}_{\text{scope of } x}$

Explicit naming

let x = 1 + 2 in print (x + x)

scope of *x*

With *explicit naming*, we use explicit operations to manipulate names:

bind x to 1 + 2 in print (read x + read x); free x

Names are first-class citizens:

bind x to 4 in x returns *x*, not 4

Names as pointers

In explicit naming, names are like pointers

- *bind x to 7* allocates memory to hold the value 7
- *read x* dereferences the pointer *x*
- *free x* deallocates the memory pointed to by *x*

Important: The value bound to a name cannot change (so names are like ‘immutable pointers’)

Explicit naming is a fragment of manual memory management

Examples

We track bindings using a *heap*, mapping names to values

The heap is updated during every computation step

	<i>heap</i>	<i>expression</i>	
	$\{\}$	<u><i>bind x to 7</i></u> in <i>print (read x); free x</i>	
\rightsquigarrow	$\{x \mapsto 7\}$	<i>print</i> (<u><i>read x</i></u>); <i>free x</i>	
\rightsquigarrow	$\{x \mapsto 7\}$	<u><i>print 7</i></u> ; <i>free x</i>	7 is printed
\rightsquigarrow	$\{x \mapsto 7\}$	<u><i>free x</i></u>	
\rightsquigarrow	$\{\}$		

Examples

What if we free x before reading from it?

	<i>heap</i>	<i>expression</i>	
	$\{\}$	<u>$bind\ x\ to\ 7\ in\ free\ x;$</u> $print\ (read\ x)$	
\rightsquigarrow	$\{x \mapsto 7\}$	<u>$free\ x;$</u> $print\ (read\ x)$	
\rightsquigarrow	$\{\}$	$print\ (\underline{read\ x})$	can't continue!

Behaviour is very sensitive to order of evaluation

The heap is threaded through the computation, so the semantics is *non-compositional*

How can we fix this?

Currently, our evaluator is a partial function of type

$$\text{Expr} \rightarrow \text{Heap} \rightarrow \text{Heap} \times \text{Value}$$

We can write this as

$$\text{Expr} \rightarrow T \text{ Value} \quad \text{where} \quad T = \text{Heap} \rightarrow \text{Heap} \times (-)$$

Can we replace T with a better (more compositional) monad?

How can we fix this?

Currently, our evaluator is a partial function of type

$$\text{Expr} \rightarrow \text{Heap} \rightarrow \text{Heap} \times \text{Value}$$

We can write this as

$$\text{Expr} \rightarrow T \text{ Value} \quad \text{where} \quad T = \text{Heap} \rightarrow \text{Heap} \times (-)$$

Can we replace T with a better (more compositional) monad?

$$U = \underbrace{\text{Context}}_{\text{like a fixed heap}} \rightarrow \underbrace{(\text{Heap} \rightarrow \text{Heap})}_{\text{effect on the heap}} \times (-)$$

Crucially: The context isn't modified by heap effects, and effects are composed using their monoid structure.

This semantics is **equivalent** to the stateful semantics!

Examples

$$\text{eff}_{\Gamma}(\text{read } x)(H) = \begin{cases} H & \text{if } x \in \text{dom } H \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{eff}_{\Gamma}(\text{free } x)(H) = \begin{cases} H' & \text{if } H = H', x \mapsto v \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{eff}_{\Gamma}(e_1; e_2) = \text{eff}_{\Gamma}(e_2) \circ \text{eff}_{\Gamma}(e_1)$$

The same context Γ is used for both e_1 and e_2

Conclusions

- In *explicit naming*, we manipulate names manually using explicit operations
- Explicit naming can be viewed as a fragment of manual memory management
- The evaluator can be thought of as a monadic function
 $\text{Expr} \rightarrow T \text{ Value}$
- By replacing T with a ‘better’ monad U we reduce dependence on state
- Paper coming soon!

Thank you!

Equivalence theorem

$$H : e \Downarrow H' : v \iff (\exists w f, \bar{w} = v \wedge f(H) = H' \wedge \text{tr}(H) \vdash e \Downarrow f : w)$$

$$\frac{}{H : x \Downarrow H : x} \text{H-VAR}$$

$$\frac{}{H : \lambda x. e \Downarrow H : \lambda x. e} \text{H-LAM}$$

$$\frac{H_1 : e_1 \Downarrow H_2 : \lambda x. e \quad H_2 : e_2 \Downarrow H_3 : v \quad (H_3, x \mapsto v) : e \Downarrow H_4 : v'}{H_1 : e_1 e_2 \Downarrow H_4 : v'} \text{H-APP}$$

$$\frac{H_1 : e \Downarrow (H_2, x \mapsto v) : x}{H_1 : *e \Downarrow (H_2, x \mapsto v) : v} \text{H-READ}$$

$$\frac{H_1 : e_1 \Downarrow H_2 : v \quad H_2 : e_2 \Downarrow (H_3, x \mapsto v') : x}{H_1 : e_1; \text{free } e_2 \Downarrow H_3 : v} \text{H-FREE}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = w}{\Gamma \vdash x \Downarrow \mathbf{id} : (x \mapsto w)} \text{E-VAR}$$

$$\frac{x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e \Downarrow \mathbf{id} : \lambda^\Gamma x. e} \text{E-LAM}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow f_1 : \lambda^{\Gamma'} x. e \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \Downarrow f_2 : w \quad (\Gamma', x \mapsto w) \vdash e \Downarrow f_3 : w'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1 : w'} \text{E-APP}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \Downarrow f : (x \mapsto w)}{\Gamma \vdash *e \Downarrow \mathbf{read } x \circ f : w} \text{E-READ}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow f_1 : w \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \Downarrow f_2 : (x \mapsto w')}{\Gamma \vdash e_1; \mathbf{free } e_2 \Downarrow \mathbf{free } x \circ f_2 \circ f_1 : w} \text{E-FREE}$$